

Appendix F

BACT Cost-Effectiveness Data



Cost Analysis of NO_x Control Alternatives for Stationary Gas Turbines

Contract No. DE-FC02-97CHIO877

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Environmental Programs
Chicago Operations Office
9800 South Cass Avenue
Chicago, IL 60439

Prepared by:

**ONSITE SYCOM Energy
Corporation**
701 Palomar Airport Road,
Suite 200
Carlsbad, California 92009

October 15, 1999

**TABLE A-5
1999 CONVENTIONAL SCR COST COMPARISON**

			5 MW Class	25 MW Class	150 MW Class
Turbine Model		Source	Solar Centaur 50	GE LM2500	GE Frame 7FA
Turbine Output			4.2 MW	23 MW	161 MW
Direct Capital Costs (DC):					
Purchased Equip. Cost (PE):		MHA			
Basic Equipment (A):		MHA	\$240,000	\$660,000	\$2,100,000
Ammonia injection skid and storage	0.00 x A	MHA	included	included	included
Instrumentation	0.00 x A	OAQPS	included	included	included
Taxes and freight:	0.08 A x B	OAQPS	\$19,015	\$52,746	\$169,530
PE Total:			\$259,014	\$712,066	\$2,269,530
Direct Installation Costs (DI):*					
Foundation & supports:	0.08 x PE	OAQPS	\$20,536	\$56,965	\$183,092
Handling and erection:	0.14 x PE	OAQPS	\$35,939	\$99,689	\$320,411
Electrical:	0.04 x PE	OAQPS	\$10,268	\$28,483	\$91,546
Piping:	0.02 x PE	OAQPS	\$5,134	\$14,241	\$45,773
Insulation:	0.01 x PE	OAQPS	\$2,567	\$7,121	\$22,886
Painting:	0.01 x PE	OAQPS	\$2,567	\$7,121	\$22,886
DI Total:			\$77,011	\$213,820	\$686,595
DC Total:			\$333,716	\$925,886	\$2,956,125
Indirect Costs (IC):					
Engineering:	0.10 x PE	OAQPS	\$25,670	\$71,207	\$100,000
Construction and field expenses:	0.06 x PE	OAQPS	\$12,635	\$35,603	\$114,432
Contractor fees:	0.10 x PE	OAQPS	\$25,670	\$71,207	\$228,865
Start-up:	0.02 x PE	OAQPS	\$5,134	\$14,241	\$45,773
Performance testing:	0.01 x PE	OAQPS	\$2,567	\$7,121	\$22,886
Contingencies:	0.03 x PE	OAQPS	\$7,701	\$21,362	\$68,659
IC Total:			\$79,578	\$220,741	\$580,616
Total Capital Investment (TCI) = DC + IC:			\$413,294	\$1,146,427	\$3,536,741
Direct Annual Costs (DAC):					
Operating Costs (O):	24 hrs/day, 7 days/week, 50 weeks/yr				
Operator:	0.5 hr/shift	25 \$/hr for operator pay	OAQPS	\$13,125	\$13,125
Supervisor:	15% of operator		OAQPS	\$1,969	\$1,969
Maintenance Costs (M):					
Labor:	0.5 hr/shift	25 \$/hr for labor pay	OAQPS	\$13,125	\$13,125
Material:	100% of labor cost		OAQPS	\$13,125	\$13,125
Utility Costs:	0% thermal eff	800 (F) operating temp			
Gas usage:	0.0 (MMcf/yr)	1,000 (Btu/ft3) heat value			
Gas cost:	3,000 (\$/MMcf)		variable		
Perf. loss:	0.5%				
Electricity cost:	0.06 (\$/kwh) performance loss cost penalty		variable	\$10,584	\$57,960
Catalyst replace:	assume 30 ft ³ catalyst per MW, \$400/ft ³ , 7 yr. life	MHA	\$10,352	\$56,690	\$396,833
Catalyst dispose:	\$15/ft ³ 30 ft ³ MW* 2054 (7 yr amortized)	OAQPS	\$388	\$2,126	\$14,881
Ammonia:	360 (\$/ton) [tons NH ₃ = tons NO _x * (17/46)]	variable	\$3,510	\$14,820	\$108,257
NH ₃ inject skid:	5 (kW) blower	5 kw (NH ₃ /H ₂ O pump)	MHA	\$5,040	\$7,560
Total DAC:			\$71,219	\$180,500	\$994,755
Indirect Annual Costs (IAC):					
Overhead:	60% of O&M	OAQPS	\$24,806	\$24,806	\$24,806
Administrative:	0.02 x TCI	OAQPS	\$8,266	\$22,929	\$71,117
Insurance:	0.01 x TCI	OAQPS	\$4,133	\$11,464	\$35,559
Property tax:	0.01 x TCI	OAQPS	\$4,133	\$11,464	\$35,559
Capital recovery:	10% interest rate, 15 yrs - period				
	0.13 x TCI	OAQPS	\$52,976	\$143,272	\$415,329
Total IAC:			\$94,314	\$213,935	\$562,370
Total Annual Cost (DAC + IAC):			\$165,533	\$394,435	\$1,557,125
NO _x Emission Rate (tons/yr) at 42 ppm:			33.4	141.0	1030.0
NO _x Removed (tons/yr) at 9 ppm, 75% removal efficiency			26.4	111.4	813.7
Cost Effectiveness (\$/ton):			\$6,274	\$3,541	\$1,938
Electricity Cost Impact (\$/kwh):			0.489	0.394	0.117

*Assume modular SCR is inserted into existing HRSG spool piece

TABLE A-7
1999 SCONOX COST COMPARISON

				5 MW Class	25 MW Class	150 MW Class
Turbine Model				Solar Centaur 50	GE LM2500	GE Frame 7FA
Turbine Output				4.2 MW	23 MW	170 MW
Direct Capital Costs (DC):						
Purchased Equip. Cost (PE):						
Basic Equipment (A):				Goalline	Goalline	Goalline
Ammonia injection skid and storage				0.00 x A	included	included
Instrumentation				0.00 x A	included	included
Taxes and freight:				0.08 x B	included	included
PE Total:				\$671,760	\$2,120,916	\$8,265,208
Direct Installation Costs (DI):*						
Foundation & supports:				0.08 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Handling and erection:				0.14 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Electrical:				0.04 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Piping:				0.02 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Insulation:				0.01 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Painting:				0.01 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
DI Total:				\$53,741	\$169,673	\$661,217
DC Total:				\$725,501	\$2,290,589	\$8,926,425
Indirect Costs (IC):						
Engineering:				0.10 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Construction and field expenses:				0.05 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Contractor fees:				0.10 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Start-up:				0.02 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Performance testing:				0.01 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
Contingencies:				0.03 x PE	OAQPS	OAQPS
IC Total:				\$201,528	\$636,275	\$2,479,562
Total Capital Investment (TCI = DC + IC):				\$927,029	\$2,926,864	\$11,405,987
Direct Annual Costs (DAC):						
Operating Costs (O):						
Operator:				24 hrs/day, 7 days/week, 50 weeks/yr 0.5 hr/shift	25 \$/hr for operator pay	OAQPS
Supervisor:				15% of operator	OAQPS	OAQPS
Maintenance Costs (M):						
Labor:				0.5 hr/shift	25 \$/hr for labor pay	OAQPS
Material:				100% of labor cost	OAQPS	OAQPS
Utility Costs:						
Perf. loss:				0.5%		
Electricity cost:				0.06 (\$/kwh) performance loss cost penalty	variable	variable
Catalyst replace:				** kcfh/MW	\$25,880	\$106,295
Catalyst dispose:				precious metal recovery = 1/3 replace cost	variable	variable
H ₂ carrier steam:				*** lb/hr (93 lb/hr steam/MW @ \$5.005/lb)	variable	variable
H ₂ reforming:				**** CH ₄ 63/hr (14h3hr/MW @ \$0.0368/ft3)	variable	variable
H ₂ skid demand:				***** kW (0.6 kW/MW capacity)	\$1,270	\$6,955
Total DAC:				\$92,063	\$295,458	\$1,919,577
Indirect Annual Costs (IAC):						
Overhead:				60% of O&M	OAQPS	OAQPS
Administrative:				0.02 x TCI	OAQPS	OAQPS
Insurance:				0.01 x TCI	OAQPS	OAQPS
Property tax:				0.01 x TCI	OAQPS	OAQPS
Capital recovery:				10% interest rate, 15 yrs. period 0.13 x TCI	OAQPS	OAQPS
Total IAC:				\$138,791	\$434,965	\$1,646,226
Total Annual Cost (DAC + IAC):				\$230,854	\$730,423	\$3,565,803
NO _x Emission Rate (tons/yr) at 25 ppm:				19.9	83.9	645.9
NO _x Removed (tons/yr) at 2 ppm, 92% removal efficiency:				18.3	77.2	594.2
Cost Effectiveness (\$/ton):				\$16,327	\$11,554	\$6,938
Electricity Cost Impact (\$/kwh):				0.847	0.462	0.289

* Assume modular SCONOX unit is inserted downstream of HRSG

** 400, 300, 300 kcfh/MW for 5, 25, 150 MW class respectively (s.v.=20kcfh/ft3, \$1,500/ft3 catalyst, 7 yr. life)

*** 391, 2139, 15810 lb/hr for 5, 25, 150 MW class respectively

**** 59, 322, 2380 CH₄/hr for 5, 25, 150 MW class respectively

***** 3, 14, 102 kW for 5, 25, 150 MW class respectively

REVISED
BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL
TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS

TOWANTIC ENERGY PROJECT

FEBRUARY 2000



1998). This value is derived by a formula specified by CTDEP. The Project's maximum emission rate will be 10 ppm, or 43 percent of the allowable MASC limit.

The use of an SCR for NO_x control in combination with an oxidation catalyst for control of CO may increase particulate emissions in the form of ammonium bi-sulfates. Due to the insignificant amount of sulfur in natural gas fuel this impact will be extremely small. During oil-fired operation (the Project will be limited to 720 hours per year of oil-fired operation) the estimated amount of ammonium bi-sulfate emissions will increase particulate emissions by approximately 60 pounds per hour. This increase has only a minor effect on the maximum predicted air quality impacts from the Project, which are well within National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

An environmental benefit of SCR, when combined with a CO Oxidation Catalyst (Section 1.3), is a decrease in emissions of VOCs. Although the Project is not required to include VOCs in the PSD review as discussed in Section 1.1, the use of an SCR and CO Oxidation Catalyst will ensure that VOC emissions are minimal. The reduction in VOC emissions from SCR/CO Oxidation Catalyst is comparable to that from SCONO_x™.

ENERGY ANALYSIS

Use of SCR for NO_x control has an energy penalty due to the energy required to force combustion gases through the SCR reactor. There are other energy requirements associated with chemical transport and operation of equipment, pumps and motors but these are relatively small. Operation of the SCR for the Towantic Project is estimated to reduce electrical output by 1.46 MW or 11,510 MWh of electricity per year¹. Not only is the electrical output reduced but the fuel use is increased by 135,800 MCF of gas per year.

1.2.4.1.3 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Table 3 presents the capital and annualized cost for the SCR control option downstream of a DLN combustor. The costs are itemized to include capital cost of equipment and operation costs for personnel, maintenance, replacement parts (primarily catalyst), energy penalties and ammonia. All costs are for two GE Frame 7FA gas turbine units, each including one HRSG, which includes the SCR unit.

¹ Based on annual capacity factor of 90%.

TOWANTIC ENERGY PROJECT

issues, poses a serious concern as to whether the Project could secure final construction approval from the Council.

As with the SCR/CO Oxidation Catalyst, SCONO_x™ will reduce VOC emissions along with NO_x and CO. The Project is not required to include VOCs in the PSD review, as discussed in Section 1.1, however, SCONO_x™ does have the added benefit of decreasing VOC emissions. The reduction in VOC emissions from SCONO_x™ is comparable to that from SCR/CO Oxidation Catalyst.

1.2.4.2.2 ENERGY ANALYSIS

Use of SCONO_x™ for NO_x control has an energy penalty due to the energy required to force combustion gases through the SCONO_x™ reactor (pressure drop). Pressure drop through the SCONO_x™ unit is estimated at 5.25 inches by the manufacturer. This is compared to approximately 3.5 inches of pressure drop for a combined SCR and CO catalyst installed in a HRSG. The pressure drop of 5.25 inches reduces the total plant output by approximately 2.19 MW or 17,266 MWh per year. Not only is the electrical output reduced but the fuel use is increased by 202,200 MCF of gas per year.

Production of the steam used in the regeneration process also imposes a penalty in that the steam is not available to generate electricity. Based on the manufacturer's estimate of low-pressure steam requirements of 15,000 pounds per hour at 600°F and 20 psig, the steam turbine capability of the Project will be reduced by approximately 2.5 MW or 19,710 MWh per year.

The additional energy requirements of the SCONO_x™ system (relative to other NO_x control technology) means that the incremental amount of energy will not be supplied by the Project to meet energy needs in the service area. Other power plants will make-up the difference (approximately 4.2 MW) and this will result in a proportional increase in air pollution emissions. These other power plants may emit at levels equal to or greater than the Project.

As with any mechanical system, there are energy requirements associated with the operation of equipment, pumps and motors but these are relatively small. Finally, the SCONO_x™ system consumes 200 pounds per hour of natural gas total for regeneration of the catalyst plus leakage. This results in an annual natural gas consumption of 41,800 MCF.

1.2.4.2.3 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Table 4 presents the capital and annualized cost for the SCONO_x™ control option downstream of a DLN combustor. The costs are itemized to include capital cost of equipment and operation costs for personnel, maintenance, replacement parts (primarily catalyst) and energy costs. These costs are based on general information provided during a meeting with representatives from ABB Environmental. ABB Environmental was not able to provide a specific cost quote for a SCONO_x™ system for a GE 7FA combustion turbine with a HRSG. The projected capital costs are based on a SCONO_x™ system designed for an ABB GT-24 unit adjusted for the GE 7FA. The SCONO_x™ system also reduces